



# Understanding Smart Beta Returns

October 2018

In this paper, we use a performance analysis framework to analyze Smart Beta strategies against their benchmark. We apply it to Minimum Variance Strategies for which live track records exist for all major equity markets. We illustrate how naïve return comparison could be misleading and suggest ways to assess the added value of these strategies. We extend our analysis to other equity strategies and look at robustness across regions.

**Carmine de Franco, PhD**  
Head of Fundamental Research  
[carmine.de-franco@ossiam.com](mailto:carmine.de-franco@ossiam.com)

**Alexandre Duriez**  
Co-Head of Investment Management and Research  
[alexandre.duriez@ossiam.com](mailto:alexandre.duriez@ossiam.com)

## Alpha versus Risk effect


Smart Beta strategies might add value to investors in two ways:

- Enhancing return, commonly referred to as “Alpha”, for a certain level of risk (commonly measured by volatility or beta to the market); or
- Reducing risk while maintaining the same level of expected returns. One could then outperform by increasing its exposure to the strategy (e.g. using leverage) and achieving higher return for the same level of risk.

These are obviously the 2 sides of the same problem and are equally valuable for investors. It is however difficult to assess one effect against the other by simply comparing returns.

Exhibit 1 shows the rolling annualized three-year<sup>1</sup> performance of the US Minimum Variance NR Index (OUMVNR Index)<sup>2</sup>, and its benchmark, the S&P 500 Net Return Index. On a three-year basis, the Minimum Variance strategy has outperformed, on average, by about 0.86% p.a. One can however notice times of strong outperformance, for instance during the period ended in March 2009 (which corresponds to the financial crisis when equity market considerably underperformed), and the recent underperformance (period of strong equity rally).

Exhibit 1: Left axis: 3 years annualized rolling return for the US Minimum Variance NR Index (OUMVNR Index), the benchmark S&P 500 Net Total Return Index (SPTR500N Index). Right axis: Point in time difference between the 3 year returns of the Minimum Variance Strategy and its benchmark.



Source: Bloomberg – Calculation by Ossiam in USD from January 2004 to August 2018

Following common industry practice and academic literature, we suggest splitting the performance coming from the different risk level of market risk of the strategy (the Beta effect) from Jensen’s Alpha (the Alpha effect), which remains the real added value of the strategy. For this, we write the generic return decomposition as:

$$R^{Strat} - R^{Bench} = \underbrace{\{R^{Strat} - R^{Cash} - \beta(R^{Bench} - R^{Cash})\}}_{\{\text{Alpha Effect}\}} + \underbrace{\{(\beta - 1)(R^{Bench} - R^{Cash})\}}_{\{\text{Beta Effect}\}}$$

$R^{Strat}$  ( $R^{Bench}$ ) is the return of the smart beta strategy (the benchmark),  $R^{Cash}$ <sup>3</sup> is the return of cash.  $\beta$  represents the classic CAPM market beta of the Minimum Variance strategy over the benchmark.

<sup>1</sup> We use three years as a compromise between usual investment horizon and capturing changes in market cycles. The points raised here remain valid for other time windows.


<sup>2</sup> The US Minimum Variance strategy considered here selects, on a monthly basis, among the top 250 liquid stocks in the S&P 500 Index, the portfolio with minimal variance under a maximum sector constraint at 20%, maximum weight constraint at 4.5% and a diversification target at 50, measured by the Herfindahl index. This strategy was underlying the Ossiam US Minimum Variance Index (OUMVNR Index) until June 16<sup>th</sup>, 2017. We extended the strategy’s performance up to August 31st, 2018 by applying the same methodology. For data before 06/06/2011 (launch date of the index), performance for the Ossiam US Minimum Variance Index NR reflects calculations performed by Ossiam based on backtest data provided by Standard & Poor’s. Backtested performance results do not represent the performance of actual trading using client assets but are achieved by means of the retroactive application of a model. This model assumes reinvestment of net dividends.

<sup>3</sup> Return of a deposit growing at the risk-free rate

For strategies with a beta below 1, therefore relatively less risky, the Beta effect is a drag on performance during periods of strong market returns. On the other hand, those would benefit from their lower beta in a down-trending market environment.

Beta can easily be adjusted to reflect investors' market anticipation or willingness to take risk. The unquestionably difficult challenge is however to find "smart" strategies which deliver positive alpha over full market cycles.

Exhibit 2: The 3-year rolling Alpha and Market Risk (Beta) effect over a three-year window for the US Minimum Variance NR Index (OUMVNR Index)<sup>1</sup> vs S&P 500 Net Total Return Index (SPTR500N Index).



Source: Bloomberg – Calculation by Ossiam in USD from January 2004 to August 2018

From Exhibit 2, the strategy looks rather "smart" as the Alpha effect is almost always positive over the last 15 years, averaging at around 2.82% p.a. By contrast, as the strategy beta is significantly below 1 and markets have performed well over the observation period, the Beta effect is on average negative (-1.96% p.a.). It is also much more volatile.







Consequently, the periods of strong outperformance (March 2009) and recent underperformance are mainly explained by the Beta effect, while the strategy almost constantly delivering positive alpha.

## Comparing Smart Beta Strategies

In this section, we apply a similar approach to various common factor-based strategies. We use a range of MSCI indices which are consistently derived from the MSCI USA index. We show how separating Alpha and Beta effects helps understanding the performance of these strategies across market cycles.

Exhibit 3 shows the Alpha and Beta effects computed over a 3-year rolling window for five MSCI factor-based strategies and the US Minimum Variance strategy over their benchmark. We use the MSCI USA Value Net TR Index (M1US000V Index, hereafter *Value*), the MSCI USA Growth Net TR Index (M1US000G Index, hereafter *Growth*), the MSCI USA Small Cap Net TR Index (M1USSC Index, hereafter *Small Cap*), the MSCI USA Quality Net TR Index (M1USQU Index, hereafter *Quality*) and the MSCI USA Momentum Net TR Index (M1USMMT Index, hereafter *Momentum*)

Exhibit 3: Alpha and Beta effect on the rolling 3-year returns difference of MSCI factor-based strategies and the MSCI USA Net Total Return Index (NDDUUS Index), and US Minimum Variance NR Index<sup>1</sup> vs S&P 500 Net Total Return Index.



	Beta [Min - Max]	Average 3y Outperformance	Average Alpha Effect	Average Beta Effect
Value	[94% - 109%]	-0.65%	-0.28%	-0.38%
Growth	[91% - 106%]	0.57%	0.30%	0.27%
Minimum Variance	[57% - 78%]	0.86%	2.82%	-1.96%
Quality	[82% - 101%]	1.01%	1.33%	-0.32%
Momentum	[77% - 126%]	2.60%	2.40%	0.20%
Small Cap	[92% - 143%]	2.71%	1.34%	1.37%

Source: Bloomberg – Calculation by Ossiam in USD from January 2004 to August 2018

Exhibit 3 highlights the materially different behavior of those strategies.

The Value and Growth strategies have betas consistently close to 1 therefore the contribution of the Beta effect is close to zero. Value stocks strongly outperformed during the period leading to the 2008 financial crisis. Growth stocks have outperformed since then.

The Small Cap strategy has a beta usually above 1 and the Beta effect strongly contributed to its historical outperformance, at least until 2015. Its alpha has however been very volatile, with periods of strong positive contribution but also long periods of negative impact.

The beta of the quality strategy varies a lot. It was below 1 until the most recent period when it has remained very close to 1. Consequently, the Beta effect was positive during the financial crisis and negative during the subsequent equity rebound. The Alpha effect was negative prior to the financial crisis but has been positive most of the time since then.

The beta of the Momentum index alternates between periods where it stays significantly below 1 and periods well above 1. This is expected considering the trend following nature of the strategy. The beta effect has been globally positive over the observation window. The alpha effect was also positive, except during the equity rally post financial crisis, which makes it the most consistently performing strategy among the MSCI factor-based strategies.

It is indeed difficult to find a strategy which delivers alpha across all different market cycles. Most of them alternate periods of strong positive and negative alpha. In this context, the Minimum Variance strategy delivers the most consistent positive alpha on the US Large Cap equity market over the observation period.

## Robustness

The biggest risk of systematic strategies is “overfitting”; i.e. the risk that a strategy, designed to “fit” too closely one market path, performs materially differently in the future. This happens commonly when one uses too many parameters materially influencing the risk and return profile of the strategy. Strategies which look too good historically (e.g. the ones which reduced equity risk prior to the financial crisis) are very unlikely to perform when the market environment is different.

To limit this risk and further assess the robustness of a smart beta strategy, it is recommended to analyse it on a variety of universes (e.g different regions for equities).

We then look at the alpha of the Minimum Variance Strategies in Europe, the UK, Japan, Emerging Market and World Developed universes offered by Ossiam through Exchange Traded Funds<sup>4</sup>.

Exhibit 4 shows the Alpha effect on three-year returns as defined in previous sections for different universe S&P500 (USA), Stoxx 600 (Europe), FTSE 100 (UK), S&P TOPIX 150 (Japan), S&P IFCI (Emerging Markets), and S&P Global 1200 (World).

Exhibit 4: Alpha effect on the rolling 3-year returns.



	Strategy Live Date	Average Annualised Alpha	Standard Deviation	Min	Max
USA	6 Jun 2011	2.8%	1.9%	-0.9%	7.6%
Europe	22 Jun 2011	4.4%	3.5%	-2.9%	11.3%
United Kingdom	19 Dec 2011	4.9%	3.1%	-1.7%	11.1%
Japan	22 Dec 2015	3.9%	3.3%	-4.1%	12.0%
Emerging Markets	6 Feb 2012	8.0%	5.9%	-3.0%	23.3%
World	5 Sep 2012	3.8%	2.7%	-1.9%	8.6%

Source: S&P/STOXX/FTSE/Bloomberg – Calculation by Ossiam in USD from January 2005 to August 2018

The Alpha of Minimum Variance strategies is remarkably almost always positive across all regions. It delivers significant positive alpha in most periods except periods of strong equity rebound post market drawdowns. For instance, Alpha is negative in the USA, Europe, United Kingdom and World universes during the period from Mid-2007 to Mid-2010, a period of sharp equity drop and subsequent rebound. In Japan, equity market drawdown lasted much longer until 2011. Comparatively, Emerging markets have performed relatively well during the financial crisis. They however subsequently underperformed to reach post crisis low levels at the beginning of 2016 before rebounding.

<sup>4</sup> Please note that some degree of performance between an ETF and its underlying index is to be expected as a result of index replication costs, tracking error and fees applied. In addition, all the data presented in this paper are net of charge



## Conclusion

We demonstrated in this paper the importance of separating the impacts of Beta exposure and Alpha generation when analyzing performance of Smart Beta strategies. Comparing alphas gives a better picture of the value added of a particular strategy for a certain level of risk.

Using this metric, the Minimum Variance strategy looks the “smartest” among all strategies analysed in this paper. It delivers the most consistently positive alpha across regions and market cycles, still it requires a higher invested nominal to match the market risk exposure of a similar market cap weighted index.

*This document is not of a regulatory nature and has been provided for information purposes only to Qualified Investors. Ossiam, a subsidiary of Natixis Investment Managers, is a French asset manager authorized by the Autorité des Marchés Financiers (Agreement No. GP-10000016). Although information contained herein is from sources believed to be reliable, Ossiam makes no representation or warranty regarding the accuracy of any information of which it is not the source. The information presented in this document is based on market data at a given moment and may change from time to time. This material has been prepared solely for informational purposes only and it is not intended to be and should not be considered as an offer, or a solicitation of an offer, or an invitation or a personal recommendation to buy or sell participating shares in any Ossiam Fund, or any security or financial instrument, or to participate in any investment strategy, directly or indirectly. It is intended for use only by those recipients to whom it is made directly available by Ossiam. Ossiam will not treat recipients of this material as its clients by virtue of their receiving this material. All performance information set forth herein is based on historical data and, in some cases, hypothetical data, and may reflect certain assumptions with respect to fees, expenses, taxes, capital charges, allocations and other factors that affect the computation of the returns. Past performance is not necessarily a guide to future performance. Any opinions expressed herein are statements of our judgment on this date and are subject to change without notice. Ossiam assume no fiduciary responsibility or liability for any consequences, financial or otherwise, arising from, an investment in any security or financial instrument described herein or in any other security, or from the implementation of any investment strategy. This information contained herein is not intended for distribution to, or use by, any person or entity in any country or jurisdiction where to do so would be contrary to law or regulation or which would subject Ossiam to any registration requirements in these jurisdictions. This material may not be distributed, published, or reproduced, in whole or in part.*

## Additional Note (1/2)

This material has been provided for information purposes only to investment service providers or other Professional Clients, Qualified or Institutional Investors and, when required by local regulation, only at their written request. This material must not be used with Retail Investors.

**In the E.U. (outside of the UK and France):** Provided by Natixis Investment Managers S.A. or one of its branch offices listed below. Natixis Investment Managers S.A. is a Luxembourg management company that is authorized by the Commission de Surveillance du Secteur Financier and is incorporated under Luxembourg laws and registered under n. B 115843. Registered office of Natixis Investment Managers S.A.: 2, rue Jean Monnet, L-2180 Luxembourg, Grand Duchy of Luxembourg. Italy: Natixis Investment Managers S.A., Succursale Italiana (Bank of Italy Register of Italian Asset Management Companies no 23458.3). Registered office: Via Larga, 2 - 20122, Milan, Italy. Germany: Natixis Investment Managers S.A., Zweigniederlassung Deutschland (Registration number: HRB 88541). Registered office: Im Trutz Frankfurt 55, Westend Carrée, 7. Floor, Frankfurt am Main 60322, Germany. Netherlands: Natixis Investment Managers, Nederlands (Registration number 50774670). Registered office: Stadsplateau 7, 3521AZ Utrecht, the Netherlands. Sweden: Natixis Investment Managers, Nordics Filial (Registration number 516405-9601 - Swedish Companies Registration Office). Registered office: Kungsgatan 48 5tr, Stockholm 111 35, Sweden. Spain: Natixis Investment Managers, Sucursal en España. Serrano n°90, 6th Floor, 28006, Madrid, Spain.

**In France:** Provided by Natixis Investment Managers International – a portfolio management company authorized by the Autorité des Marchés Financiers (French Financial Markets Authority - AMF) under no. GP 90-009, and a public limited company (société anonyme) registered in the Paris Trade and Companies Register under no. 329 450 738. Registered office: 43 avenue Pierre Mendès France, 75013 Paris.

**In Switzerland:** Provided for information purposes only by Natixis Investment Managers, Switzerland Sàrl, Rue du Vieux Collège 10, 1204 Geneva, Switzerland or its representative office in Zurich, Schweizergasse 6, 8001 Zürich.

**In the U.K.:** Provided by Natixis Investment Managers UK Limited which is authorised and regulated by the UK Financial Conduct Authority (register no. 190258). This material is intended to be communicated to and/or directed at persons (1) in the United Kingdom, and should not to be regarded as an offer to buy or sell, or the solicitation of any offer to buy or sell securities in any other jurisdiction than the United Kingdom; and (2) who are authorised under the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (FSMA 2000); or are high net worth businesses with called up share capital or net assets of at least £5 million or in the case of a trust assets of at least £10 million; or any other person to whom the material may otherwise lawfully be distributed in accordance with the FSMA 2000 (Financial Promotion) Order 2005 or the FSMA 2000 (Promotion of Collective Investment Schemes) (Exemptions) Order 2001 (the "Intended Recipients"). The fund, services or opinions referred to in this material are only available to the Intended Recipients and this material must not be relied nor acted upon by any other persons. Registered Office: Natixis Investment Managers UK Limited, One Carter Lane, London, EC4V 5ER.

**In the DIFC:** Provided in and from the DIFC financial district by Natixis Investment Managers Middle East (DIFC Branch) which is regulated by the DFSA. Related financial products or services are only available to persons who have sufficient financial experience and understanding to participate in financial markets within the DIFC, and qualify as Professional Clients as defined by the DFSA. Registered office: Office 603 - Level 6, Currency House Tower 2, PO Box 118257, DIFC, Dubai, United Arab Emirates.

**In Japan:** Provided by Natixis Investment Managers Japan Co., Ltd., Registration No.: Director-General of the Kanto Local Financial Bureau (kinsho) No. 425. Content of Business: The Company conducts discretionary asset management business and investment advisory and agency business as a Financial Instruments Business Operator. Registered address: 1-4-5, Roppongi, Minato-ku, Tokyo.

**In Taiwan:** Provided by Natixis Investment Managers Securities Investment Consulting (Taipei) Co., Ltd., a Securities Investment Consulting Enterprise regulated by the Financial Supervisory Commission of the R.O.C. Registered address: 34F., No. 68, Sec. 5, Zhongxiao East Road, Xinyi Dist., Taipei City 11065, Taiwan (R.O.C.), license number 2018 FSC SICE No. 024, Tel. +886 2 8789 2788.

**In Singapore:** Provided by Natixis Investment Managers Singapore (name registration no. 53102724D) to distributors and institutional investors for informational purposes only. Natixis Investment Managers Singapore is a division of Ostrum Asset Management Asia Limited (company registration no. 199801044D). Registered address of Natixis Investment Managers Singapore: 5 Shenton Way, #22-05 UIC Building, Singapore 068808.

**In Hong Kong:** Provided by Natixis Investment Managers Hong Kong Limited to institutional/ corporate professional investors only.

## **Additional Notes (2/2)**



**In Australia:** Provided by Natixis Investment Managers Australia Pty Limited (ABN 60 088 786 289) (AFSL No. 246830) and is intended for the general information of financial advisers and wholesale clients only .

**In New Zealand:** This document is intended for the general information of New Zealand wholesale investors only and does not constitute financial advice. This is not a regulated offer for the purposes of the Financial Markets Conduct Act 2013 (FMCA) and is only available to New Zealand investors who have certified that they meet the requirements in the FMCA for wholesale investors. Natixis Investment Managers Australia Pty Limited is not a registered financial service provider in New Zealand.

**In Latin America:** Provided by Natixis Investment Managers S.A.

**In Uruguay:** Provided by Natixis Investment Managers Uruguay S.A., a duly registered investment advisor, authorised and supervised by the Central Bank of Uruguay. Office: San Lucar 1491, oficina 102B, Montevideo, Uruguay, CP 11500. The sale or offer of any units of a fund qualifies as a private placement pursuant to section 2 of Uruguayan law 18,627.

**In Colombia:** Provided by Natixis Investment Managers S.A. Oficina de Representación (Colombia) to professional clients for informational purposes only as permitted under Decree 2555 of 2010. Any products, services or investments referred to herein are rendered exclusively outside of Colombia. This material does not constitute a public offering in Colombia and is addressed to less than 100 specifically identified investors.

**In Mexico:** Provided by Natixis IM Mexico, S. de R.L. de C.V., which is not a regulated financial entity or an investment manager in terms of the Mexican Securities Market Law (Ley del Mercado de Valores) and is not registered with the Comisión Nacional Bancaria y de Valores (CNBV) or any other Mexican authority. Any products, services or investments referred to herein that require authorization or license are rendered exclusively outside of Mexico. Natixis Investment Managers is an entity organized under the laws of France and is not authorized by or registered with the CNBV or any other Mexican authority to operate within Mexico as an investment manager in terms of the Mexican Securities Market Law (Ley del Mercado de Valores). Any use of the expression or reference contained herein to "Investment Managers" is made to Natixis Investment Managers and/or any of the investment management subsidiaries of Natixis Investment Managers, which are also not authorized by or registered with the CNBV or any other Mexican authority to operate within Mexico as investment managers.

The above referenced entities are business development units of Natixis Investment Managers, the holding company of a diverse line-up of specialised investment management and distribution entities worldwide. The investment management subsidiaries of Natixis Investment Managers conduct any regulated activities only in and from the jurisdictions in which they are licensed or authorized. Their services and the products they manage are not available to all investors in all jurisdictions. It is the responsibility of each investment service provider to ensure that the offering or sale of fund shares or third party investment services to its clients complies with the relevant national law.

The provision of this material and/or reference to specific securities, sectors, or markets within this material does not constitute investment advice, or a recommendation or an offer to buy or to sell any security, or an offer of any regulated financial activity. Investors should consider the investment objectives, risks and expenses of any investment carefully before investing. The analyses, opinions, and certain of the investment themes and processes referenced herein represent the views of the portfolio manager(s) as of the date indicated. These, as well as the portfolio holdings and characteristics shown, are subject to change. There can be no assurance that developments will transpire as may be forecasted in this material. Past performance information presented is not indicative of future performance.

Although Natixis Investment Managers believes the information provided in this material to be reliable, including that from third party sources, it does not guarantee the accuracy, adequacy, or completeness of such information. This material may not be distributed, published, or reproduced, in whole or in part.

All amounts shown are expressed in USD unless otherwise indicated.